Using Item 8 of the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) to **Assess Improvement in Patients with Tardive Dyskinesia**

INTRODUCTION

- Tardive dyskinesia (TD), a persistent and potentially disabling movement disorder, can emerge with prolonged exposure to antipsychotics or other dopamine receptor blocking agents^{1,2}
- Valbenazine is a highly selective vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) inhibitor approved for the treatment of TD in adults³
- Valbenazine was shown to reduce TD symptoms in 3 randomized, double-blind, placebook controlled trials⁴⁻⁶ and 3 long-term studies⁷⁻⁹
- In contemporary TD studies, the primary efficacy outcome is usually based on changes from baseline in the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) total score (sum of AIMS items 1-7)
- However, a low AIMS total score can be ambiguous when presented without context; for example, a total score of 4 could represent any of the following:
- Rating of 1 (minimal) in 4 different body regions
- Rating of 2 (mild) in 2 different body regions
- Rating of 3 (moderate) in 1 region and rating of 1 (minimal) in another region
- Rating of 4 (severe) in a single region
- Physicians often use (knowingly or unknowingly) informal assessments to gauge TD severity in their patients (e.g., mild, moderate, or severe)
- Therefore, data from a long-term valbenazine study, KINECT 4 (NCT02405091), were analyzed post hoc to evaluate the potential of AIMS item 8 (clinician's global impression of severity) as simple clinical measure that could be used in lieu of the AIMS total score

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

KINECT 4 included a 48-week open-label treatment period and a 4-week drug-free safety follow-up period (total of 52 weeks) (Figure 1)



- All participants received valbenazine 40 mg for
- Participants could be escalated to 80 mg at the end of Week 4 if both of the following conditions were met:
- Clinical Global Impression of Change-TD score of ≥ 3 ("minimally improved" to "very much worse")
- Acceptable safety/tolerability with 40 mg, based on investigator judgement

PARTICIPANTS

- Key inclusion criteria:
- Adults aged 18 to 85 years with a *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (e.g., DSM-IV) diagnosis of neuroleptic-induced TD for \geq 3 months prior to screening
- DSM diagnosis of schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder or mood disorder
- Moderate or severe TD, gualitatively assessed by an external reviewer at screening
- Stable psychiatric and medical status
- Key exclusion criteria:
- Comorbid movement disorder that was more prominent than TD
- Significant risk for suicidal or violent behavior
- Stable doses of concomitant medications to treat the psychiatric and medical conditions were allowed

ANALYSES

- Analyses were based on AIMS item 8 ("severity of abnormal movements overall") using two sets of AIMS item 8 scores (Table 1):
- Protocol-based method: based on investigators' ratings of item 8 using protocol-defined descriptors
- Post hoc method: based on investigators' highest single score from items 1-7
- Mean AIMS item 8 scores with standard deviation (SD) were analyzed at baseline and by study visit
- Three shift analyses were conducted based on the following criteria:
- Score 4 at baseline (severe) and score ≤3 at Week 48 (none to moderate)
- Score \geq 3 at baseline (moderate or severe) and score \leq 2 at Week 48 (none to mild)
- Score ≥ 2 at baseline (mild to severe) and score ≤ 1 at Week 48 (none or minimal)

lable	1. AIMS Scoring and Descriptors I		
Score	Protocol-Defined Descriptors		
0	No dyskinesia		
1	Minimal or slight dyskinesia: Low amplitu		
2	Mild dyskinesia: Low amplitude and prese amplitude and present during some of exar		
3	Moderate dyskinesia: Moderate amplitude		
4	Severe dyskinesia: Maximal amplitude and		
	s 1-7. fic or additional direction was provided for AIMS item 8; scores were based on each invest he highest single score from items 1-7.10		

gator's individual judgement. When used clinically, a common practice is to score AIMS 8 using the highest single score from items 1-7.5

Leslie Citrome,¹ Leslie Lundt,² Chirag Shah,² Tara Carmack²

¹New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY; ²Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., San Diego, CA

-	4	weeks	

Table 1, AIMS Scoring and Descriptors in KINECT 4^a

de, present during some but not most of exam

nt during most of exam (or moderate

and present during most of exam

I present during most of exam

RESULTS

- In general, results were similar between the protocol-based scoring and the post hocbased scoring methods
- In all participants (N=163), mean scores for AIMS item 8 and changes from baseline (±SD) were as follows:
- At baseline: protocol, 3.2 ± 0.6 ; post hoc, 3.3 ± 0.6 (moderate-to-severe)
- At Week 48: protocol, 1.2 ± 0.7 ; post hoc, 1.4 ± 0.7 (minimal-to-mild)
- Mean change from baseline to Week 48: protocol, -2.0 ± 0.8 ; post hoc, -2.0 ± 0.9 (Figure 2)

Figure 2. AIMS Mean Change from Baseline by Visit



- Results from AIMS item 8 shift analyses were similar between the scoring methods (Figure 3)
- In participants with a score of 4 at baseline (severe), 100% shifted to a score \leq 3 at Week 48 (none to moderate)



In participants with a score \geq 3 at baseline (moderate or severe), >90% shifted to a score \leq 2 at Week 48 (none to mild)

• In participants with a score ≥ 2 at baseline (mild to severe), $\geq 50\%$ shifted to a score ≤ 1 at Week 48 (none or minimal)



^aBased on participants who had available AIMS assessments at baseline and Week 48 Includes 9 participants who had a dose reduction from 80 to 40 mg after Week 4.

CONCLUSIONS

- Once-daily valbenazine treatment resulted in improved AIMS item 8 scores (clinician's global impression of severity) in patients with TD
- Shift analyses indicated that most participants had a clinically meaningful improvement at Week 48 (end of treatment)
- Similar results were found whether AIMS item 8 scores were based on report by site raters (protocol-based method) or the highest items 1-7 scores (post hoc method)
- These results demonstrate that AIMS item 8 scores may be an appropriate clinical measure for assessing changes in TD severity
- Moreover, the convention of scoring AIMS item 8 based on the highest single score from AIMS items 1-7 is simple to communicate and can yield clinically useful and actionable data; this approach avoids the need to interpret the AIMS total score (sum of AIMS items 1-7), which can be ambiguous when viewed in isolation

REFERENCES

- 1. Lerner PP, Miodownik C, Lerner V. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2015;69:321-34. Waln O and Jankovic J. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov. 2013;3. 3. INGREZZA® [prescribing information]. San Diego, CA: Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc.; 2020. 4. KINECT Study. Available at https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01688037. 5. O'Brien CF. Jimenez R. Hauser RA. et al. *Mov Disord*. 2015:30:1681-7.
- 6. Hauser RA. Factor SA. Marder SR. et al. Am J Psychiatry. 2017;174:476-84.
- 7. Factor SA, Remington G, Comella CL, et al. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2017;78:1344-50. 8. Marder SR, Singer C, Lindenmayer, JP, et al. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2019;39:620-27.
- 9. Lindenmayer JP, Verghese C, Marder SR, et al. CNS Spectr. 2020;1-9.
- 10. Munetz MR, Benjamin S. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1988;39(11):1172-7

Disclosure: This study was supported by Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc., San Diego, CA. Writing and editorial support were provided by Prescott Medical Communications Group, Inc., Chicago, IL. Please email medinfo@neurocrine.com if you have any questions on this presentation.

> PRESENTED VIRTUALLY AT THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF **NEUROSCIENCE NURSES 53RD ANNUAL EDUCATIONAL MEETING** APRIL 17-20, 2021